

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,991	12/29/2003	Dominick H. Salvato	1589	8249	
23623	7590 03/10	2006	EXAMINER		
AMIN & T	UROCY, LLP	LE, UYEN CHAU N			
1900 EAST	9TH STREET, NA	IONAL CITY CENTER			
24TH FLOO	R.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			2876		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				رسريخ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/748,991	SALVATO, DOMINICK	н.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHI - Extended aftended - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAPAISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status							
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
2a)		action is non-final.					
3)[	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposi	ion of Claims	•					
4) 🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-19 and 21-29</u> is/are pending in t	he application.					
/_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		•			
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-19 and 21-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	ð			
Attachme	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

#### Requesting Continued Examination (RCE)

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Requesting Continued Examination (RCE) field 24 February 2006.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 15 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olodort et al (US 20050091431 A1) in view of Reber et al (US 6418325 B1).

Re claims 1, 15 and 23: Olodort et al discloses a system and method that facilitates desirable orientation of a display on a portable electronic device comprising a keypad that is moveable with respect to a body of the machine data reader, the keypad is utilized to relay information to the machine data reader; a means/component that senses a position of the keypad;

a means/component that orients the display based at least in part upon the sensed position of the keypad; and a means/customization component that facilitates customizing size of at least one of text and imagery of the display as a function of the sensed keypad position (figs. 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 8A-10 and 19A-19C; paragraphs [0058], [0063], [0091], and [0095]).

Olodort et al is silent with respect to the electronic device is a machine data reader including a wearable barcode scanner.

Reber et al teaches a portable device 100 having an optical/barcode reader 340 (fig. 9).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further employ the optical/barcode reader of Reber et al into the portable device as taught by Olodort et al in order to provide Olodort et al with a universal system which can be utilized in various applications. Furthermore, such modification would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art for intended use, and therefore an obvious expedient.

4. Claims 1-3, 7-12, 15-16, 19, 21-26 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kfoury et al (US 2003/0044000) in view of Kumar (US 5,386,106 - cited by the Applicant) and Charlier et al (US 2003/0064751).

Re claims 1-3, 7-11, 15-16, 19, 21, 23-25 and 29: Kfoury et al discloses a system that facilitates desirable orientation of a display on an electronic device (e.g., cell phone 100), comprising: a keypad 102 that is moveable with respect to a body of the electronic device 100, the keypad 102 utilized to relay information to the electronic device 100; a component 504 that senses a position of the keypad 102; and a component 500 that orients the display 104 based at least in part upon the sensed position of the keypad 102 (figs. 1-5; paragraphs [0016-0021]; a detachable face 601, the keypad 102 and the display 104 resident upon the detachable face 601; and a component that senses a position of the detachable face, the display oriented at least in part upon the sensed position of the detachable face (fig. 6; paragraph [0022]); one or more keys 106 that are employed to enter information into the electronic device 100, the one or keys not moveable with respect to the body of the electronic device, and operability of the one or more keys depending upon the sense position of the keypad (paragraph [0030]); a multi-position connector that facilitates connecting the keypad to the body of the electronic device, the position of the keypad sensed via monitoring a physical connection between the keypad and the multi-position connector; a sensing component that dynamically senses a position of the keypad, the display Application/Control Number: 10/748,991

Art Unit: 2876

dynamically rendered based at least in part upon the sensed position of the keypad (paragraphs [0034-0036]; the keypad detachable from the electronic device 100 (fig. 6); a mechanism 704 that locks the keypad in a desirable position (fig. 11; paragraph [0032]).

Kfoury et al is silent with respect to the electronic device is a machine data reader including a wearable barcode scanner.

Kumar teaches a portable device 10 having barcode scanner module 70 (figs. 1 & 6).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further employ the barcode scanner module of Kumar into the portable device as taught by Kfoury et al in order to provide Kfoury et al with a universal system which can be utilized in various applications. Furthermore, such modification would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art for intended use, and therefore an obvious expedient.

Kfoury et al as modified by Kumar is silent with respect to a customization component that facilitates user-customization of a display rendering based at least in part upon the sensed position of the keypad, the customization component customizes

size of at least one of text and imagery of the display, respectively.

Charlier et al teaches a portable electronic device 116 having a user interface 108 for customizing the display rendering based at least in part upon the sensed position of the keypad (fig. 5; paragraphs [0026-0027]).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a user interface for customizing the display as taught by Charlier et al into the system of Kfoury et al/Kumar in order to provide the user with the flexibility to customize the display to a desirable configuration with respect to the position of the keypad, thus providing a more user-friendly system.

Re claims 12, 22 and 26: Kfoury et al discloses each time the keypad is locked (i.e., by detent 704 and recess 706) at a new position resulting from a rotation, the processor 500 remap the key sensors 1306 to the new orientation of the keys 1304, this is accomplished by using lookup tables (paragraphs [0032] and [0035]). Therefore, it is understood that if the keypad is not yet locked at the new position (i.e., not yet completed a rotation of either 90° or 180°, etc.), the processor 500 will not performing the remapping process, and thus the keypad is not operated (i.e., inoperative).

5. Claims 6, 13-14, 17-18 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kfoury et al as modified by Kumar and Charlier et al as applied to claims 1, 15 and 23 above, and further in view of Knox (US 6,004,049). The teachings of Kfoury et al as modified by Kumar have been discussed above.

6, 13-14, 17-18 Re claims and 27-28: Kfoury al/Kumar/Charlier et al has been discussed above but is silent with respect to the electronic device is an IC card reader; customizing means for customizing for customizing orientation of the display based at least in part upon user identification, user history, and current application; a data store that contains one or more profiles, the profiles relates to individual users and comprising information related to user references, and the display oriented based at least in part upon a profile; respectively.

Knox teaches a computer 101 having a card reader 134 for reading a smart card 135; a memory (NVAM) 128 for storing customized keyboard/display layout (figs. 1 and 3; col. 3, line 14 through col. 4, line 62 and col. 6, line 10 through col. 6, line 56).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the

teachings of Knox into the system as taught by Kfoury et al/Kumar/Charlier et al in order to provide the user with a storage device for storing customized keyboard/display layout, which would reduce time and labor (i.e., the user does not have to concern about re-due the configuring/customizing of the keyboard/display every time using the system), and therefore an obvious expedient.

## Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 15 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., customizing the resolution, font size, images and orientation of the display) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The Examiner respectfully submits that the claims 1, 15 and 23 recite "... customizing size of <u>at least one</u> of text and imagery of the display..."

8. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., customizing the size of the text and imagery of the display) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The Examiner respectfully submits that the claims 1, 15 and 23 recite "... customizing size of <u>at least one</u> of text and imagery of the display..."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen-Chau N. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2397. The examiner can normally be reached on First Monday 5:30AM-1:30PM and Tues-Fri 5:30AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,991 Page 10

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Uyen-Chau N. Le Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2876

Ulehaule

March 6, 2006